

Chapter 800: Restriction in Applications Filed Under 35 U.S.C. 111; Double Patenting

801 Introduction

802 Basis for Practice in Statute and Rules

802.01 Meaning of “Independent” and “Distinct”

I. INDEPENDENT

II. RELATED BUT DISTINCT

802.02 Definition of Restriction

803 Restriction — When Proper

I. CRITERIA FOR RESTRICTION BETWEEN PATENTABLY DISTINCT INVENTIONS

II. GUIDELINES

803.01 Review by Examiner with at Least Partial Signatory Authority

803.02 Markush Claims

803.03 Transitional Applications

803.03(a) Transitional Application — Linking Claim Allowable

803.03(b) Transitional Application — Generic Claim Allowable

803.04 Nucleotide Sequences

803.05 Reissue Application Practice

804 Definition of Double Patenting

804.01 Prohibition of Double Patenting Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. 121

I. INSTANCES WHERE DOUBLE PATENTING ISSUE CAN BE RAISED

A. Between Issued Patent and One or More Applications

B. Between Copending Applications—Provisional Rejections

1. Nonstatutory Double Patenting Rejections

2. Statutory Double Patenting Rejections (35 U.S.C. 101)

C. Between One or More Applications and a Published Application -
Provisional Rejections

D. Reexamination Proceedings

II. REQUIREMENTS OF A DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION (INCLUDING
PROVISIONAL REJECTIONS)

A. Statutory Double Patenting — 35 U.S.C. 101

B. Nonstatutory Double Patenting

1. Obviousness-Type

(a) One-Way Obviousness

(b) Two-Way Obviousness

2. Another Type of Nonstatutory Double Patenting Rejection

3. Design/Plant — Utility Situations

III. CONTRAST BETWEEN DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION AND
REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

804.02 Avoiding a Double Patenting Rejection

I. STATUTORY

II. NONSTATUTORY

III. TERMINAL DISCLAIMER REQUIRED DESPITE REQUEST TO ISSUE ON
COMMON ISSUE DATE

IV. DISCLAIMING MULTIPLE DOUBLE PATENTING REFERENCES

V. REQUIREMENTS OF A TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

VI. TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS REQUIRED TO OVERCOME
NONSTATUTORY DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS IN APPLICATIONS
FILED ON OR AFTER JUNE 8, 1995

804.03 Commonly Owned Inventions of Different Inventive Entities; Non-Commonly
Owned Inventions Subject to a Joint Research Agreement

I. DOUBLE PATENTING

II. IDENTIFYING COMMONLY OWNED AND NON-COMMONLY OWNED
INVENTIONS SUBJECT TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT

- A. Common Ownership by the Same Person(s) or Organization(s)
- B. Non-Commonly Owned Inventions Subject to a Joint Research Agreement
- C. Timing of Double Patenting Rejections

III. DETERMINING INVENTION PRIORITY

IV. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 102 AND 103 AND DOUBLE PATENTING

804.04 Submission to Technology Center Director

805 Effect of Improper Joinder in Patent

806 Determination of Distinctness or Independence of Claimed Inventions

806.01 Compare Claimed Subject Matter

806.03 Single Embodiment, Claims Defining Same Essential Features

806.04 Genus and/or Species Inventions

806.04(b) Species May Be Independent or Related Inventions

806.04(d) Definition of a Generic Claim

806.04(e) Claims Limited to Species

806.04(f) Restriction Between Mutually Exclusive Species

806.04(h) Species Must Be Patentably Distinct From Each Other

806.04(i) Generic Claims Presented After Issue of Species

806.05 Related Inventions

806.05(a) Combination and Subcombination

806.05(c) Criteria of Distinctness Between Combination and Subcombination

I. SUBCOMBINATION ESSENTIAL TO COMBINATION

II. SUBCOMBINATION NOT ESSENTIAL TO COMBINATION

A. ABbr/Bsp Restriction Proper

B. ABsp/ABbr/Bsp Restriction Proper

III. PLURAL COMBINATIONS REQUIRING A SUBCOMBINATION COMMON TO EACH COMBINATION

- 806.05(d) Subcombinations Usable Together
- 806.05(e) Process and Apparatus for Its Practice
- 806.05(f) Process of Making and Product Made
- 806.05(g) Apparatus and Product Made
- 806.05(h) Product and Process of Using
- 806.05(i) Product, Process of Making, and Process of Using
- 806.05(j) Related Products; Related Processes
- 806.06 Independent Inventions
- 807 Patentability Report Practice Has No Effect on Restriction Practice
- 808 Reasons for Insisting Upon Restriction
 - 808.01 Reasons for Holding of Independence or Distinctness
 - 808.01(a) Species
 - 808.02 Establishing Burden
- 809 Linking Claims
 - 809.02(a) Election of Species Required
 - 809.03 Restriction Between Linked Inventions
- 810 Action on the Merits
- 811 Time for Making Requirement
 - 811.02 New Requirement After Compliance With Preceding Requirement
 - 811.03 Repeating After Withdrawal Proper
 - 811.04 Proper Even Though Grouped Together in Parent Application
- 812 Who Should Make the Requirement
 - 812.01 Telephone Restriction Practice
- 814 Indicate Exactly How Application Is To Be Restricted
 - I. SPECIES
 - II. INVENTIONS OTHER THAN SPECIES

III. LINKING CLAIMS

815 Make Requirement Complete

817 Outline of Letter for Restriction Requirement

818 Election and Reply

818.01 Election in Reply to a Restriction Requirement: Express

818.01(a) Reply Must be Complete

818.01(b) Election is Required, Even When Requirement Is Traversed

818.01(c) Traverse is Required To Preserve Right of Petition

818.01(d) Traverse of Restriction Requirement With Linking Claims

818.02 Election Other Than Express

818.02(a) Election By Originally Presented Claims

818.02(b) Generic Claims Only — No Election of Species; Linking Claims Only
– No Election of Invention

818.02(c) Election By Optional Cancellation of Claims

818.02(d) Election By Cancellation of Claims, Lacking Express Election
Statement

819 Office Generally Does Not Permit Shift

821 Treatment of Claims Held To Be Drawn to Nonelected Inventions

821.01 After Election With Traverse

821.02 After Election Without Traverse

821.03 Claims for Different Invention Added After an Office Action

821.04 Rejoinder

821.04(a) Rejoinder Between Product Inventions; Rejoinder Between Process
Inventions

821.04(b) Rejoinder of Process Requiring an Allowable Product

822 Claims to Inventions That Are Not Patentably Distinct in Plural Applications of Same
Applicant or Assignee

823 Unity of Invention Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty